

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,082	04/12/2001	Mark J. Ratain	ARCD:374US/GNS	3017
7:	590 06/04/2002			
Gina N. Shishima Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P. Suite 2400 600 Congress Avenue Austin, TX 78701			EXAMINER	
			EINSMANN, JULIET CAROLINE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Trustin, 111 To	,,,,,,		1634	0
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/835,082	RATAIN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Juliet Einsmann	1634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	April 2002 .				
2a)□	71110 404011 10 1	nis action is non-final.	1			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-99</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-99</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Not	int(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's election of group IV, claims 77-84 and 88-97, without traverse, in paper number 7 is acknowledged. Claims 1-76, 85-87, and 98-99 are hereby WITHDRAWN from prosecution. A further RESTRICTION requirement is applied to the elected claims.

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group IV is properly divided into at least 51 patentably distinct inventions, wherein each of the distinct groups is drawn to a method for evaluating the risk of flavopiridol-induced toxicity in a patient comprising identifying a polymorphism in an ABC gene. Claim 93 recites 51 different ABC encoding nucleic acids. Claims 94-97 further list four additional ABC encoding nucleic acids. Applicants must select a single ABC encoding nucleic acid for prosecution. For whichever ABC encoding nucleic acid is elected, applicants should identify which of claims 93-97 read on the elected invention.

Prior to allowance, non-elected subject matter will be required to be deleted from any allowable claims. Applicant is advised that examination will be restricted to only the elected ABC encoding nucleic acid and should not to be construed as a species election.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Each of these inventions requires the examination of a separate and distinct coding nucleic acid. Each coding nucleic acid is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, i.e. these sequences are unrelated because they do not share a common structure or function. A reference against one would not anticipate or obviate another, and thus for each

particular sequence a separate search of the patent and non-patent literature is required. These separate searches would impose undue burden on the examiner.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as demonstrated by their recognized divergent subject matter and because the at least 51 inventions require different searches that are not coextensive, examination of these claims would pose a serious burden on the examiner and therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Gina Shishima on 5/21/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

supervisor, W. Gary Jones can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 and (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Juliet C. Einsmann Examiner Art Unit 1634

May 21, 2002

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600